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Length of a curve and area of a surface in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ are special cases of $n$-dimensional volume of an n-dimensional manifold in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, given infinitesimally by the volume form.
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## 15a Planar curves

Let $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ and $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \in M$.
Recall that a subset $A$ of $M$ is called a (relative) neighborhood of ( $x_{0}, y_{0}$ ) in $M$, if $A$ contains all points of $M$ that are close enough to ( $x_{0}, y_{0}$ ). Also, $A$ is (relatively) open in $M$ if it is a neighborhood in $M$ of every point of $A$.

15a1 Exercise. Assume that $G$ is a neighborhood of 0 in $\mathbb{R}, \psi: G \rightarrow M$, $\psi(0)=\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right), \psi$ is a homeomorphism from $G$ to $\psi(G)$, and $\psi(G)$ is a neighborhood of $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ in $M$. Prove that $\psi\left(G_{0}\right)$ is a neighborhood of $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ in $M$ for every neighborhood $G_{0} \subset G$ of 0 in $\mathbb{R}$.

15a2 Definition. A chart (of $M$ around $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ ) is a pair $(G, \psi)$ of an open neighborhood $G$ of 0 in $\mathbb{R}$ and a mapping $\psi: G \rightarrow M$ such that
(a) $\psi(0)=\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$;
(b) $\psi(G)$ is an open neighborhood of $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ in $M ;{ }^{1}$
(c) $\psi$ is a homeomorphism from $G$ to $\psi(G)$;
(d) $\psi \in C^{1}\left(G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$;
(e) $D \psi$ does not vanish (on $G$ ).

15a3 Definition. A co-chart ${ }^{2}$ (of $M$ around $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ ) is a pair $(U, \varphi)$ of an open neighborhood $U$ of $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and a mapping $\varphi: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

[^0](a) $\varphi\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)=0 ;^{1}$
(b) $M \cap U=\{x \in U: \varphi(x)=0\}$;
(c) $\varphi \in C^{1}(U)$;
(d) $D \psi$ does not vanish (on $U$ ).

In particular, if $M$ is the graph of a function $f$ of class $C^{1}$ near $x_{0}$, we may take $\psi(t)=\left(x_{0}+t, f\left(x_{0}+t\right)\right)$ and $\varphi(x, y)=y-f(x)$. The case $x=g(y)$ may be treated similarly. We'll see soon that the general case reduces to these two special cases (locally, but not globally).

15a4 Remark. (a) If $(G, \psi)$ is a chart and $G_{0} \subset G$ is an open neighborhood of 0 then $\left(G_{0},\left.\psi\right|_{G_{0}}\right)$ is a chart;
(b) if $(U, \varphi)$ is a co-chart and $U_{0} \subset U$ is an open neighborhood of $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ then $\left(U_{0},\left.\varphi\right|_{U_{0}}\right)$ is a co-chart.

15a5 Lemma. Existence of a chart (of $M$ around $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ ) is equivalent to existence of a co-chart (of $M$ around $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ ).

Proof. "If": given $U$ and $\varphi$, we assume that $\left(D_{2} \varphi\right)_{\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)} \neq 0$ (otherwise we swap the coordinates $x, y$ ) and apply to $\varphi$ the implicit function theorem 5c1. Reducing $U$ to some $V \times W$ we get locally a graph

$$
M \cap U=\{(x, y) \in V \times W: \varphi(x, y)=0\}=\{(x, f(x)): x \in V\}
$$

of some function $f: V \rightarrow W$ of class $C^{1}$. We take $G=V-x_{0}, \psi\left(x-x_{0}\right)=$ $(x, f(x))$ for $x \in G$, and check that $(G, \psi)$ is a chart.


From a chart to a co-chart (and graph).
"Only if": given $G$ and $\psi, \psi(t)=\left(\psi_{1}(t), \psi_{2}(t)\right)$, we assume that $\psi_{1}^{\prime}(0) \neq 0$ (otherwise we swap the coordinates $x, y$ ) and apply to $\psi_{1}$ the inverse function theorem 4c1. Reducing $G$ as needed we ensure that $\psi_{1}$ is a homeomorphism from $G$ to an open neighborhood $V$ of $x_{0}$, and $\psi_{1}^{-1}: V \rightarrow G$ is of class $C^{1}$.

[^1]Taking into account that $\psi(G)$ is a neighborhood of $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ in $M$, we reduce $V$ and $G$ (again) and choose a neighborhood $W$ of $y_{0}$ such that

$$
M \cap(V \times W)=\psi(G) \cap(V \times W)
$$

We take $U=V \times W$, define $\varphi: U \rightarrow R$ by

$$
\varphi(x, y)=y-\psi_{2}\left(\psi_{1}^{-1}(x)\right),
$$

and check that $(U, \varphi)$ is a co-chart.
15a6 Definition. A nonempty set $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is a one-dimensional manifold (or 1-manifold) if for every $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \in M$ there exists a chart of $M$ around $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$.
"Co-chart" instead of "chart" gives an equivalent definition due to 15 a 5 .
$15 a 7$ Exercise. Which of the following subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ are 1-manifolds? Prove your answers, both affirmative and negative.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& * M_{1}=\mathbb{R} \times\{0\} ; \\
& * M_{2}=[0,1] \times\{0\} ; \\
& * M_{3}=(0,1) \times\{0\} ; \\
& * M_{4}=\{(0,0)\} ; \\
& * M_{5}=\mathbb{R} \times\{0,1\} ; \\
& * M_{6}=\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z} ; \\
& * M_{7}=\mathbb{R} \times\left\{1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \ldots\right\} ; \\
& * M_{8}=M_{7} \cup M_{1} ; \\
& * M_{9}=\{(r \cos \varphi, r \sin \varphi): 0<r<1, \varphi=1 / r\} ; \\
& * M_{10}=M_{9} \cup M_{4} ; \\
& * M_{11}=\{(r \cos \varphi, r \sin \varphi): 0<r<1, \varphi=1 /(1-r)\} ; \\
& * M_{12}=\left\{(x, y): x^{2}+y^{2}=1\right\} ; \\
& * M_{13}=M_{11} \cup M_{12} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 15b Higher dimensions

Let $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}, n \in\{1, \ldots, N\}$, and $x_{0} \in M$.
15b1 Definition. A chart ( $n$-chart of $M$ around $x_{0}$ ) is a pair $(G, \psi)$ of an open neighborhood $G$ of 0 in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and a mapping $\psi: G \rightarrow M$ such that
(a) $\psi(0)=x_{0}$;
(b) $\psi(G)$ is an open neighborhood of $x_{0}$ in $M ;{ }^{1}$
(c) $\psi$ is a homeomorphism from $G$ to $\psi(G)$;
(d) $\psi \in C^{1}\left(G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$;
(e) for every $x \in G$ the linear operator $(D \psi)_{x}$ from $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ to $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ is one-toone.

15b2 Definition. A co-chart ${ }^{2}$ ( $n$-cochart of $M$ around $x_{0}$ ) is a pair $(U, \varphi)$ of an open neighborhood $U$ of $x_{0}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and a mapping $\varphi: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N-n}$ such that
(a) $\varphi\left(x_{0}\right)=0 ;{ }^{3}$
(b) $M \cap U=\{x \in U: \varphi(x)=0\}$;
(c) $\varphi \in C^{1}\left(U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N-n}\right)$;
(d) for every $x \in U$ the linear operator $(D \varphi)_{x}$ from $R^{N}$ to $\mathbb{R}^{N-n}$ is onto.

In particular, if $M$ is the graph of a mapping $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N-n}$ of class $C^{1}$ near $x_{0}$, that is, $M=\left\{(u, f(u)): u \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\right\}$, then we may take $\psi(t)=$ $\left(u_{0}+t, f\left(u_{0}+t\right)\right)$ and $\varphi(u, v)=v-f(u)$ for $u \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, v \in \mathbb{R}^{N-n}$; here $\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)=x_{0}$.

This is one out of $\binom{N}{n}$ similar cases. Recall Sect. 5 d : if a linear operator maps $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ onto $\mathbb{R}^{N-n}$, it does not mean that it is $(A \mid B)$ with invertible $B$. Some $(N-n) \times(N-n)$ minor is not zero, but not just the rightmost minor. That is, some $N-n$ out of the $N$ variables are functions of the other $n$ variables; but not just the last $N-n$ variables and the first $n$ variables.


15b3 Lemma. Existence of a chart ( $n$-chart of $M$ around $x_{0}$ ) is equivalent to existence of a co-chart ( $n$-cochart of $M$ around $x_{0}$ ).

I skip the proof; it is a straightforward generalization of 15 a 5 .
As before, the general case reduces (locally) to the $\binom{N}{n}$ special cases; some $N-n$ variables are functions of the other $n$ variables. In terms of Sect. 5d, $M$ has a $n$-chart (or $n$-cochart) around $x_{0}$ if and only if $M$ has $n$ degrees of freedom at $x_{0}$.

[^2]15b4 Exercise. Let $\left(G_{1}, \psi_{1}\right),\left(G_{2}, \psi_{2}\right)$ be two $n$-charts of $M$ around $x_{0}$. Prove existence of a mapping $\varphi: G_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}$ of class $C^{1}$ near 0 such that $\psi_{1}(u)=\psi_{2}(\varphi(u))$ for all $u$ near 0 , and $\operatorname{det}(D \varphi)_{0} \neq 0 .{ }^{1}$

15b5 Exercise. A relation $\operatorname{det}(D \varphi)_{0}>0$ (for $\left(G_{1}, \psi_{1}\right),\left(G_{2}, \psi_{2}\right)$ and $\varphi$ as above) is an equivalence relation between $n$-charts of $M$ around $x_{0}$. Prove it.

Clearly, there exist exactly two equivalence classes (provided that $M$ has an $n$-chart around $x_{0}$, of course). These equivalence classes are called the two orientations of $M$ at $x_{0}$.

15b6 Exercise. If $M$ has an $n$-chart at $x_{0}$ then $M$ cannot have an $m$-chart at $x_{0}$ for $m \neq n$. Prove it. ${ }^{2}$ However, $M$ can have an $m$-chart for $m \neq n$ at another point; give an example.

The special status of the point 0 in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is only a matter of convenience; it is easy to reformulate the theory such that $\psi^{-1}\left(x_{0}\right)$ is not necessarily 0 .

15b7 Definition. A nonempty set $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is an $n$-dimensional manifold (or $n$-manifold) if for every $x_{0} \in M$ there exists an $n$-chart of $M$ around $x_{0} .{ }^{3}$
"Co-chart" instead of "chart" gives an equivalent definition.
A relatively open nonempty subset of an $n$-manifold is a $n$-manifold.
An $N$-manifold in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ is just a nonempty open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$.
15b8 Exercise. (a) If $M$ is an $n$-manifold in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $T: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ an invertible linear operator then $T(M)$ is also an $n$-manifold; prove it;
(b) for a non-invertible $T, T(M)$ need not be a manifold (of any dimension); give a counterexample.

15b9 Example. ${ }^{4}$ Consider the set $M$ of all $3 \times 3$ matrices $A$ of the form

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a^{2} & a b & a c \\
b a & b^{2} & b c \\
c a & c b & c^{2}
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { for } a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}, a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}=1
$$

[^3]These are orthogonal projections to one-dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. We treat $M$ as a subset of the six-dimensional space of all symmetric $3 \times 3$ matrices.

The set $M$ is invariant under transformations $A \mapsto U A U^{-1}$ where $U$ runs over all orthogonal matrices (linear isometries); these are linear transformations of the six-dimensional space of matrices. If $A$ corresponds to $x=(a, b, c)$ then $U A U^{-1}$ corresponds to $U x$. For arbitrary $A, B \in M$ there exists $U$ such that $U A U^{-1}=B$ ("transitive action").

Thus, $M$ looks the same around all its points ("homogeneous space"). In order to prove that $M$ is a 2 -manifold (in $\mathbb{R}^{6}$ ) it is sufficient to find a chart (or co-chart) around a single point of $M$, say,

$$
A_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \in M
$$

15b10 Exercise. Find a 2-chart of $M$ around $A_{1} .{ }^{1}$
15b11 Exercise. Locally, near $A_{1}$, four coordinates should be smooth functions of the other two coordinates. Which two? Calculate explicitly these four functions of two variables. ${ }^{2}$

Recall the two orientations of $M$ at $x_{0}$ introduced after 15 b 5 .
15b12 Definition. (a) An orientation of an $n$-manifold $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is a family $\left(\mathcal{O}_{x}\right)_{x \in M}$ of orientations $\mathcal{O}_{x}$ of $M$ at points $x$ such that for every $x_{0} \in M$ and every $(G, \psi) \in \mathcal{O}_{x_{0}}$ the relation $(G, \psi) \in \mathcal{O}_{x}$ holds for all $x$ near $x_{0} .{ }^{3}$
(b) $M$ is orientable if it has (at least one) orientation.

We will see that a sphere is orientable but the Möbius strip is not, as well as $M$ of 15 b 9 . However, a single-chart piece of a manifold is orientable.

An oriented manifold is, by definition, a pair $(M, \mathcal{O})$ of a manifold and its orientation. By a chart of an oriented manifold $(M, \mathcal{O})$ we mean a chart $(G, \psi)$ of $M$ such that $(G, \psi) \in \mathcal{O}_{x}$ for all $x \in \psi(G)$.

15b13 Definition. Let $M$ be an $n$-manifold in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$.
(a) A vector $h \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is tangent to $M$ at $x_{0} \in M$ if $\operatorname{dist}\left(x_{0}+\varepsilon h, M\right)=o(\varepsilon)$ (as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ );
(b) the tangent space $T_{x_{0}} M$ (to $M$ at $x_{0}$ ) is the set of all tangent vectors (to $M$ at $x_{0}$ ).

[^4]The next exercise shows (in particular) that the tangent space is indeed a vector subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$.

15 b 14 Exercise. Let $(G, \psi)$ be a chart around $x_{0}$ and $(U, \varphi)$ a co-chart around $x_{0}$. Prove that the following three conditions on a vector $h \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ are equivalent:
(a) $h$ is a tangent vector (at $x_{0}$ );
(b) $h$ belongs to the image of the linear operator $(D \psi)_{0}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$;
(c) $h$ belongs to the kernel of the linear operator $(D \varphi)_{x_{0}}: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N-n}$.

15b15 Example. Let $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be the graph of a function $f \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$. Then $T_{(x, f(x))} M=\left\{\left(\lambda, \lambda f^{\prime}(x)\right): \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\right\}$.

15b16 Exercise. Generalize 15 b 15 to curves and surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ (that are graphs).

15b17 Definition. A differential form of order $k$ (or $k$-form) on an $n$-manifold $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is a continuous function $\omega$ on the set $\left\{\left(x, h_{1}, \ldots, h_{k}\right): x \in\right.$ $\left.M, h_{1}, \ldots, h_{k} \in T_{x} M\right\}$ such that for every $x \in M$ the function $\omega(x, \cdot, \ldots, \cdot)$ is an antisymmetric multililear $k$-form on $T_{x} M$.

Given a $k$-form $\omega$ on $M$ and a chart $(G, \psi)$ of $M$, we have the pullback of $\omega$ along $\psi$ (similarly to $11 \mathrm{f1}$ ); this is a $k$-form $\psi^{*} \omega$ on $G$ defined by

$$
\left(\psi^{*} \omega\right)\left(u, h_{1}, \ldots, h_{k}\right)=\omega\left(\psi(u),\left(D_{h_{1}} \psi\right)_{u}, \ldots,\left(D_{h_{k}} \psi\right)_{u}\right) .
$$

In particular, if $k=n$ (the dimension of $M$ ) then $\psi^{*} \omega$ is an $n$-form on an open set $G \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, therefore

$$
\psi^{*} \omega=f d u_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d u_{n}
$$

for some continuous function $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. In the spirit of (11f2) we may introduce an improper integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{(G, \psi)} \omega=\int_{G} f \tag{15b18}
\end{equation*}
$$

however, it may diverge.

## 15c Single-chart integration

15c1 Definition. (a) A $k$-form $\omega$ on an $n$-manifold $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is compactly supported if there exists a compact set $K \subset M$ that supports $\omega$ in the sense that $\omega\left(x, h_{1}, \ldots, h_{k}\right)=0$ for all $x \in M \backslash K$ and $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{k} \in T_{x} M$.
(b) $\omega$ is a single-chart form if there exist a compact set $K \subset M$ that supports $\omega$ and a chart $(G, \psi)$ of $M$ such that $K \subset \psi(G)$.

Assume that $M, \omega, K$ and $(G, \psi)$ are as in 15 c 1 (b). Then the pullback $\psi^{*} \omega$ is supported by a compact subset of $G$. Therefore in the case $k=n$ the integral 15 b 18 ) is well-defined as a (proper) Riemann integral (of a compactly supported continuous function on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ ).

The next lemma shows that the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{(M, \mathcal{O})} \omega=\int_{(G, \psi)} \omega \tag{15c2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a correct definition of the integral of a single-chart $n$-form over an oriented $n$-manifold.

15 c 3 Lemma. Let $\omega$ be a compactly supported $n$-form on an oriented $n$-manifold $(M, \mathcal{O})$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, and $\left(G_{1}, \psi_{1}\right),\left(G_{2}, \psi_{2}\right)$ two charts ${ }^{1}$ of $(M, \mathcal{O})$ such that $K \subset \psi_{1}\left(G_{1}\right) \cap \psi_{2}\left(G_{2}\right)$ for some compact $K$ that supports $\omega$. Then

$$
\int_{\left(G_{1}, \psi_{1}\right)} \omega=\int_{\left(G_{2}, \psi_{2}\right)} \omega
$$

Proof. The set $\tilde{G}=\psi_{1}\left(G_{1}\right) \cap \psi_{2}\left(G_{2}\right)$ is (relatively) open in $M$, therefore sets $\tilde{G}_{1}=\psi_{1}^{-1}(\tilde{G}) \subset G_{1}, \tilde{G}_{2}=\psi_{2}^{-1}(\tilde{G}) \subset G_{2}$ are open (in $\left.\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. A mapping $\varphi: \tilde{G}_{1} \rightarrow \tilde{G}_{2}, \varphi(u)=\psi_{2}^{-1}\left(\psi_{1}(u)\right)$ is a diffeomorphism by 15 b 4 . The equality

$$
\psi_{1}=\psi_{2} \circ \varphi \quad \text { on } \tilde{G}_{1}
$$

implies

$$
\psi_{1}^{*} \omega=\varphi^{*}\left(\psi_{2}^{*} \omega\right) \quad \text { on } \tilde{G}_{1}
$$

by the chain rule. ${ }^{2}$ We have $\psi_{1}^{*} \omega=f_{1} d u_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d u_{n}, \psi_{2}^{*} \omega=f_{2} d u_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d u_{n}$ for some $f_{1} \in C\left(\tilde{G}_{1}\right), f_{2} \in C\left(\tilde{G}_{2}\right)$. Thus,

$$
f_{1} d u_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d u_{n}=\varphi^{*}\left(f_{2} d u_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d u_{n}\right)=\left(f_{2} \circ \varphi\right) d \varphi_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d \varphi_{n}
$$

where $\varphi_{i}=u_{i} \circ \varphi$. It follows that $f_{1}(u)=f_{2}(\varphi(u)) \operatorname{det}(D \varphi)_{u}$ for all $u \in$ $\tilde{G}_{1}$. Using Theorem 8a5, $\int_{G_{2}} f_{2}=\int_{\tilde{G}_{2}} f_{2}=\int_{\tilde{G}_{1}}\left(f_{2} \circ \varphi\right)|\operatorname{det} D \varphi|=\int_{\tilde{G}_{1}}\left(f_{2} \circ\right.$ $\varphi) \operatorname{det} D \varphi=\int_{\tilde{G}_{1}} f_{1}=\int_{G_{1}} f_{1}$.

## 15d Volume form

All antisymmetric multililear $n$-forms $L$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ are the same up to a coefficient,

$$
\begin{gathered}
L=c d x_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d x_{n} \quad \text { for some } c \in \mathbb{R} \\
L\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)=c \operatorname{det}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \text { for all } a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
\end{gathered}
$$

[^5]If $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ are an orthonormal basis then $\operatorname{det}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)= \pm 1$, and therefore $\left|L\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)\right|=|c|$ does not depend on the basis.

Thus, for every $n$-dimensional vector space $V$, all antisymmetric multililear $n$-forms on $V$ are a one-dimensional vector space, - a line. The two rays of this line are, by definition, the two orientations of $V$. In other words, the two orientations of $V$ are the two equivalence classes of nontrivial (that is, not identically zero) antisymmetric multililear $n$-forms on $V$; the equivalence relation is, $\exists c>0 L_{1}=c L_{2}$.

For an $n$-dimensional Euclidean space $E$, each orientation contains exactly one $L$ normalized in the sense that $\left|L\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)\right|=1$ for some (therefore, every) orthonormal basis $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ of $E$.

If $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is an $n$-manifold and $x_{0} \in M$, then the two orientations of $M$ at $x_{0}$ correspond to the two orientations of $T_{x_{0}} M$; namely, an $n$-chart $(G, \psi)$ of $M$ at $x_{0}$ corresponds to an antisymmetric multililear $n$-form $L$ on $T_{x_{0}} M$ if $L\left(\left(D_{1} \psi\right)_{0}, \ldots,\left(D_{n} \psi\right)_{0}\right)>0$.

15d1 Definition. An $n$-form $\mu$ on an oriented $n$-manifold $(M, \mathcal{O})$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ is the volume form, if for every $x \in M$ the antisymmetric multililear $n$-form $\mu(x, \cdot, \ldots, \cdot)$ is normalized and corresponds to the orientation $\mathcal{O}_{x}$.

Clearly, such $\mu$ is unique. Is it clear that $\mu$ exists? Surely, $\mu(x, \cdot, \ldots, \cdot)$ is well-defined for each $x$; but is it continuous in $x$ ? We will arrive soon to a useful explicit formula for $\mu$ in terms of a chart, thus getting existence as a byproduct. For now, taking existence for granted, we use $\mu$ in the following definition.

15d2 Definition. The integral of a single-chart continuous function $f$ : $M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ over an oriented manifold $(M, \mathcal{O})$ is

$$
\int_{(M, \mathcal{O})} f=\int_{(M, \mathcal{O})} f \mu
$$

where $\mu$ is the volume form on $(M, \mathcal{O})$.
15d3 Example. Let $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be the graph of a function $f \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$. The whole $M$ is covered by a chart $\mathbb{R}=G_{+} \ni x \mapsto \psi_{+}(x)=(x, f(x)) \in M$; denote by $\mathcal{O}_{+}$the corresponding orientation of $M$, and by $\mathcal{O}_{-}$the other orientation. The two volume forms on $M$ are $\mu_{ \pm}\left((x, f(x)),\left(\lambda, \lambda f^{\prime}(x)\right)\right)=$ $\pm \lambda \sqrt{1+f^{\prime 2}(x)}$; thus, $\psi_{+}^{*} \mu_{+}=\sqrt{1+f^{\prime 2}} d x$. Given a compactly supported function $g \in C(M)$, we have

$$
\int_{\left(M, \mathcal{O}_{+}\right)} g=\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x, f(x)) \sqrt{1+f^{\prime 2}(x)} \mathrm{d} x .
$$

Another chart $\mathbb{R}=G_{-} \ni x \mapsto \psi_{-}(x)=(-x, f(-x)) \in M$ corresponds to $\mathcal{O}_{-}$; we have $\psi_{-}^{*} \mu_{-}=\sqrt{1+f^{\prime}(-x)^{2}} d x$ (think, why not " $-\sqrt{\ldots}$ ") ; thus,

$$
\int_{\left(M, \mathcal{O}_{-}\right)} g=\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(-x, f(-x)) \sqrt{1+f^{\prime 2}(-x)} \mathrm{d} x
$$

the same result for the other orientation.
Can we generalize 15 d 3 to a surface $M$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ (the graph of a function $\left.f \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)\right)$ ? We know the tangent space (recall 15b16) $T_{(x, y, f(x, y))} M$, it is spanned by two vectors, $\left(1,0,\left(D_{1} f\right)_{(x, y)}\right)$ and $\left(0,1,\left(D_{2} f\right)_{(x, y)}\right)$, but they are not orthogonal. We may apply the orthogonalization process, but it leads to unpleasant formulas even for $n=2$ (and the more so for higher $n$ ). Fortunately a better way exists.

For arbitrary $n$ vectors $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\operatorname{det}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)\right)^{2}=(\operatorname{det}(A))^{2}=\operatorname{det}\left(A^{\mathrm{t}} A\right)= \\
& =\operatorname{det}\left(\left\langle a_{i}, a_{j}\right\rangle\right)_{i, j}=\left|\begin{array}{lll}
\left\langle a_{1}, a_{1}\right\rangle & \ldots & \left\langle a_{1}, a_{n}\right\rangle \\
\left\langle a_{2}, a_{1}\right\rangle & \ldots & \left\langle a_{2}, a_{n}\right\rangle \\
\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . . \ldots \ldots \\
\left\langle a_{n}, a_{1}\right\rangle & \ldots & \left\langle a_{n}, a_{n}\right\rangle
\end{array}\right| ;
\end{aligned}
$$

here $A=\left(a_{1}|\ldots| a_{n}\right)$ is the matrix whose columns are the vectors $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$; accordingly, $A^{\mathrm{t}} A$ is the matrix of scalar products (think, why), the socalled Gram matrix, and its determinant is called the Gram determinant, or Gramian of $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$.

Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ be an $n$-dimensional subspace, $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ its orthonormal basis, and $L$ a normalized antisymmetric multililear $n$-form on $E$. How to calculate $\left|L\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}\right)\right|$ for arbitrary $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n} \in E$ ? By the Gramian:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|L\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}\right)\right|=\sqrt{\operatorname{det}\left(\left\langle h_{i}, h_{j}\right\rangle\right)_{i, j}} . \tag{15d4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here is why. Consider a linear isometry $T: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow E, T\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)=$ $u_{1} e_{1}+\cdots+u_{n} e_{n}$. The antisymmetric multililear $n$-form $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \mapsto$ $L\left(T a_{1}, \ldots, T a_{n}\right)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ returns $L\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)= \pm 1$ on the usual basis of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$; therefore

$$
L\left(T a_{1}, \ldots, T a_{n}\right)= \pm \operatorname{det}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \quad \text { for all } a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

Taking $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ such that $T a_{1}=h_{1}, \ldots, T a_{n}=h_{n}$ we get

$$
\left(L\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}\right)\right)^{2}=\left(\operatorname{det}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)\right)^{2}=\operatorname{det}\left(\left\langle a_{i}, a_{j}\right\rangle\right)_{i, j}=\operatorname{det}\left(\left\langle h_{i}, h_{j}\right\rangle\right)_{i, j},
$$

since $T$ is isometric.
Thus, in order to check whether an antisymmetric multililear $n$-form $L$ on an $n$-dimensional $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is normalized or not, we do not need an orthonormal basis in $E$. It suffices to have linearly independent vectors $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n} \in E$ and check 15d4).

If $\mu$ is a volume form on $(M, \mathcal{O})$ and $(G, \psi)$ a chart of $(M, \mathcal{O})$ then the pullback $\psi^{*} \mu$ satisfies

$$
\left(\psi^{*} \mu\right)\left(u, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)=\mu\left(\psi(u),\left(D_{1} \psi\right)_{u}, \ldots,\left(D_{1} \psi\right)_{u}\right)=J_{\psi}(u),
$$

where $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ are the usual basis of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and

$$
J_{\psi}(u)=\sqrt{\operatorname{det}\left(\left\langle\left(D_{i} \psi\right)_{u},\left(D_{j} \psi\right)_{u}\right\rangle\right)_{i, j}}
$$

is the (generalized) Jacobian of $\psi$. We see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{*} \mu=J_{\psi} d u_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d u_{n} \tag{15d5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, given $(M, \mathcal{O})$ and $(G, \psi)$ (but not $\mu$ ), we can construct a form $\mu$ on the oriented $n$-manifold $\psi(G) \subset M$ satisfying (15d5), namely, $\mu=$ $\left(\psi^{-1}\right)^{*}\left(J_{\psi} d u_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d u_{n}\right)$; existence of the volume form is thus proved (on every orientable manifold, not just single-chart). We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{(M, \mathcal{O})} f=\int_{(M, \mathcal{O})} f \mu=\int_{(G, \psi)} f \mu=\int_{G}(f \circ \psi) J_{\psi} \tag{15d6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every continuous $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ supported by a compact $K \subset \psi(G)$.
$15 d 7$ Exercise. Consider a Möbius strip (without the edge),

$$
\begin{gathered}
M=\{\Gamma(s, \theta): s \in(-1,1), \theta \in[0,2 \pi]\}, \\
\Gamma(s, \theta)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left(R+r s \cos \frac{\theta}{2}\right) \cos \theta \\
\left(R+r s \cos \frac{\theta}{2}\right) \sin \theta \\
r s \sin \frac{\theta}{2}
\end{array}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$


for given $R>r>0$ (as in Sect. 12b). Prove that it is a non-orientable 2-manifold in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. ${ }^{1}$

Two facts without proofs: every 1 -manifold in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ is orientable; every compact 2-manifold in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ is orientable.

15d8 Exercise. Continuing 15 b 9 prove that the compact 2-manifold $M \subset$ $\mathbb{R}^{6}$ is non-orientable. ${ }^{2}$

[^6]15 d 9 Exercise. Let $f \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R}), M_{a}$ be the graph of $f(\cdot)+a$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}$, and $g \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ compactly supported. Prove that
(a) $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{d} a \int_{M_{a}} g^{2} \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} g^{2}$;
(b) the equality holds if and only if $\forall x, y \quad f^{\prime}(x) g(x, y)=0$.

15 d 10 Exercise. Find $J_{\psi}$ given $\psi(\theta, \varphi)=(\sin \theta \cos \varphi, \sin \theta \sin \varphi, \cos \theta)$. Compare your answer with (14b11).

15d11 Exercise. Find $J_{\psi}$ given $\psi(x)=\left(x, \sqrt{1-|x|^{2}}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, $|x|<1$.

Answer: $1 / \sqrt{1-|x|^{2}}$.
15 d 12 Exercise. Consider a half-space $G=\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times(0, \infty) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, semispheres $M_{r}=\{x \in G:|x|=r\}$ for $r>0$, and a compactly supported $f \in C(G)$. Prove that
(a) $\int_{M_{r}} f=\int_{\left\{u \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}:|u|<r\right\}} \frac{r}{\sqrt{r^{2}-|u|^{2}}} f\left(u, \sqrt{r^{2}-|u|^{2}}\right) \mathrm{d} u$;
(b) $\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} r \int_{M_{r}} f=\int_{G} f$.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Relative, of course.
    ${ }^{2}$ Not a standard terminology.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ This condition may be dropped since it follows from (b).

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Relative, of course.
    ${ }^{2}$ Not a standard terminology.
    ${ }^{3}$ This condition may be dropped since it follows from (b).

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Hint: $M$ has $n$ degrees of freedom at $x_{0}$. Values of $\varphi$ outside a neighborhood of 0 are irrelevant.
    ${ }^{2}$ Hint: recall 2b13(b).
    3 "In the literature this is usually called a submanifold of Euclidean space. It is possible to define manifolds more abstractly, without reference to a surrounding vector space. However, it turns out that practically all abstract manifolds can be embedded into a vector space of sufficiently high dimension. Hence the abstract notion of a manifold is not substantially more general than the notion of a submanifold of a vector space." Sjamaar, page 69.
    ${ }^{4}$ The projective plane in disguise.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Hint: $(b, c) \mapsto\left(\sqrt{1-b^{2}-c^{2}}, b, c\right)=x \mapsto A=\psi(b, c)$.
    ${ }^{2} \mathrm{Hint}$ : solve a quadratic equation.
    ${ }^{3}$ Of course, $\psi^{-1}(x)$ need not be 0 ; if this is required, the argument of $\psi$ must be shifted accordingly.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Orientation must be respected.
    ${ }^{2}$ This is similar to the equality $(\varphi \circ \Gamma)^{*} \omega=\Gamma^{*}\left(\varphi^{*} \omega\right)$ in Sect. 11f.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ Hint: think about the function $\theta \mapsto \mu\left(\Gamma(0, \theta), D_{1} \Gamma(0, \theta), D_{2} \Gamma(0, \theta)\right)$.
    ${ }^{2}$ Hint: similar to 15 d 7 . (In fact, a part of $M$ is diffeomorphic to the Möbius strip.)

