Analysis-III

## Solutions to selected exercises

6#1(b) Exercise. Differentiate  $S: M_{n,n}(\mathbb{R}) \to M_{n,n}(\mathbb{R}), S(A) = A^t A$ .

**Solution.**  $S(A+H) = (A+H)^t(A+H) = A^tA + A^tH + H^tA + H^tH = S(A) + (A^tH + H^tA) + o(||H||); (DS)_A(H) = A^tH + H^tA = A^tH + (A^tH)^t.$ 

**6#1(d) Exercise.** Differentiate  $P: M_{n,n}(\mathbb{R}) \to P_n, P(A)(x) = \det(xI - A)$ , at the point I.

**Solution.** By 2e7(b),  $(D \det)_I = \text{tr}$ , that is,  $\det(I + H) = 1 + \text{tr}(H) + o(||H||)$ . Thus, for  $x \neq 1$ ,

$$P(I+H)(x) = \det(xI - (I+H)) = \det((x-1)I - H) =$$
  
=  $(x-1)^n \det\left(I - \frac{1}{x-1}H\right) = (x-1)^n \left(1 + \operatorname{tr}\left(-\frac{1}{x-1}H\right) + o(||H||)\right) =$   
=  $(x-1)^n - (x-1)^{n-1} \operatorname{tr} H + o(||H||);$ 

finally,  $(DP)_I(H)(x) = -(x-1)^{n-1} \operatorname{tr} H.$ 

**6#3 Exercise.** Define a mapping  $f : U \to M_{d,d}(\mathbb{R})$ , where  $U = \{A \in M_{d,d}(\mathbb{R}) : ||A|| < 1\}$  (the operator norm being used), by

$$f(A) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k+1} \frac{A^k}{k} \text{ for } ||A|| < 1$$

(it is in fact  $\log(I + A)$ ). Prove that

(a) the series converges;

(b) f is continuously differentiable;

(c) f is open on some neighborhood of 0;

\*\*(d)  $\log(\exp(A)) = A$  for all A in some neighborhood of 0.

Solution. (a) Partial sums are a Cauchy sequence, since

$$\left\|\sum_{k=m}^{m+n} (-1)^{k+1} \frac{A^k}{k}\right\| \le \sum_{k=m}^{m+n} \left\| (-1)^{k+1} \frac{A^k}{k} \right\| = \sum_{k=m}^{m+n} \frac{1}{k} \|A^k\| \le \sum_{k=m}^{m+n} \frac{1}{k} \|A\|^k \le \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \|A\|^k = \frac{\|A\|^m}{1 - \|A\|} \to 0$$

as  $m \to \infty$ .

(b) First, consider (for arbitrary k) a mapping  $g_k: M_{d,d} \to M_{d,d}$ ,

$$g_k(A) = A^k$$

.

Analysis-III

We have

$$g_k(A+H) = (A+H)^k = \sum_{\substack{i_1,\dots,i_k=0,1\\i_1,\dots,i_k=0,1}} A^{1-i_1}H^{i_1}\dots A^{1-i_k}H^{i_k} =$$
$$= \underbrace{A^k}_{g_k(A)} + \underbrace{A^{k-1}H + A^{k-2}HA + \dots + HA^{k-1}}_{(Dg_k)_A(H)} + \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{i_1+\dots+i_k\geq 2\\o(||H||)}} A^{1-i_1}H^{i_1}\dots A^{1-i_k}H^{i_k}}_{o(||H||)};$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_k(A+H) - g_k(A) - (Dg_k)_A(H)\| &\leq \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_k \geq 2} \|A^{1-i_1}H^{i_1} \dots A^{1-i_k}H^{i_k}\| \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_k \geq 2} \|A\|^{1-i_1} \|H\|^{i_1} \dots \|A\|^{1-i_k} \|H\|^{i_k} = \\ &= \left(\|A\| + \|H\|\right)^k - \|A\|^k - k\|A\|^{k-1} \|H\| \leq \frac{1}{2}k(k-1)(\|A\| + \|H\|)^{k-2} \|H\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

The series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k} g_k(A) = f(A)$  converges by (a); also the series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k} g_k(A+H) = f(A+H)$  converges when ||H|| < 1 - ||A||; and the series

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k} \left( g_k(A+H) - g_k(A) - (Dg_k)_A(H) \right)$$

converges for these H, since

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\| \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k} \left( g_k(A+H) - g_k(A) - (Dg_k)_A(H) \right) \right\| \le \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \cdot \frac{1}{2} k(k-1) (\|A\| + \|H\|)^{k-2} \|H\|^2 < \infty.$$

Therefore the series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k} (Dg_k)_A(H)$  converges, and

$$\left\| f(A+H) - f(A) - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k} (Dg_k)_A(H) \right\| \le \\ \le \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k-1}{2} (\|A\| + \|H\|)^{k-2} \|H\|^2 = o(\|H\|).$$

We see that

$$(Df)_A = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k} (Dg_k)_A, \quad (Dg_k)_A (H) = A^{k-1} H + A^{k-2} H A + \dots + H A^{k-1}.$$

Each  $Dg_k$  is evidently continuous, and the series converges uniformly on  $\{A : ||A|| \le 1 - \varepsilon\}$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , therefore Df is continuous.

(c) Clearly,  $(Dg_1)_0 = \text{id}$  and  $(Dg_k)_0 = 0$  for k > 1; thus  $(Df)_0 = \text{id}$ . It follows that f is open on some neighborhood of 0 (see Theorem 4c1 and Exercise 3b3).

(\*\*d) (Sketch only) First, for arbitrary polynomials f and g,

$$g(f(A)) = (g \circ f)(A)$$

(this algebraic identity follows from definitions). The problem is that our functions  $f, g, f(x) = e^x - 1$  and  $g(x) = \log(1+x)$ , are not polynomials (but power series).

Second, the Jordan normal form<sup>1</sup> reduces the general case to the special case

$$A = \lambda I + T, \quad T^d = 0.$$

For arbitrary polynomial f,

$$f(A) = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \frac{1}{k!} f^{(k)}(\lambda) T^k =$$
  
=  $f(\lambda)I + f'(\lambda)T + \frac{1}{2}f''(\lambda)T^2 + \dots + \frac{1}{(d-1)!}f^{(d-1)}(\lambda)T^{d-1}.$ 

It follows that the same equality holds whenever f is a power series whose radius of convergence exceeds  $|\lambda|$ . Moreover, if  $f_k$  are polynomials such that  $f_k(\lambda) \to f(\lambda), f'_k(\lambda) \to f'(\lambda), \ldots, f_k^{(d-1)}(\lambda) \to f^{(d-1)}(\lambda)$  as  $k \to \infty$ , then  $f_k(A) \to f(A)$ .

Third, let  $f_n$  be the *n*-th Taylor sum for f,  $f(x) = e^x - 1$ , and similarly  $g_n$  for g,  $g(x) = \log(1+x)$ . It appears that  $f_n \to f$ ,  $g_n \to g$  and  $g_n \circ f_n \to g \circ f$ , the convergence being the locally uniform (near 0) convergence of functions and all derivatives.

8#2 Exercise. Prove that the mapping<sup>2</sup>

$$S: \mathbb{R}_+ \times (0,\pi)^{n-2} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \operatorname{Span}\{e_3, \dots, e_n\}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See Wikipedia, articles "Jordan normal form" and "Logarithm of a matrix" (item "The logarithm of a non-diagonalizable matrix").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The original formulation contains  $\bigcup_{j=3}^{n} \text{Span}\{e_j\}$  rather than  $\text{Span}\{e_3, \ldots, e_n\}$ ; this is a mistake, sorry.

Analysis-III

defined by equations

$$x_{n} = r \cos \theta_{n-2}$$

$$x_{n-1} = r \sin \theta_{n-2} \cos \theta_{n-3}$$

$$\dots$$

$$x_{3} = r \sin \theta_{n-2} \sin \theta_{n-3} \dots \sin \theta_{2} \cos \theta_{1}$$

$$x_{2} = r \sin \theta_{n-2} \sin \theta_{n-3} \dots \sin \theta_{2} \sin \theta_{1} \cos \varphi$$

$$x_{1} = r \sin \theta_{n-2} \sin \theta_{n-3} \dots \sin \theta_{2} \sin \theta_{1} \sin \varphi$$

is locally invertible, and satisfies<sup>1</sup>

$$\det(DS) = r^{n-1} \prod_{j=1}^{n-2} \sin^j \theta_j$$

**Solution.** First we prove that S(U) = V where  $U = (0, \infty) \times (0, \pi)^{n-2} \times \mathbb{R}$ and  $V = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \text{Span}\{e_3, \ldots, e_n\} = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_n) : x_1^2 + x_2^2 > 0\}$ . We introduce  $r_k = \sqrt{x_1^2 + \cdots + x_k^2}$  and note that

$$r_k = r \sin \theta_{n-2} \dots \sin \theta_{k-1} \quad \text{for } k = 2, \dots, n,$$
$$x_k = r_k \cos \theta_{k-2} \quad \text{for } k = 3, \dots, n.$$

Thus,  $x_1^2 + x_2^2 = r_2^2 = (r \sin \theta_{n-2} \dots \sin \theta_1)^2 > 0$  (since  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{n-2} \in (0, \pi)$ ), that is,  $S(U) \subset V$ .

Given  $x \in V$ , we take  $\theta_{k-2} \in (0,\pi)$  such that  $\cos \theta_{k-2} = x_k/r_k$  for  $k = 3, \ldots, n$ , then  $\sin \theta_{k-2} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{x_k^2}{r_k^2}} = \sqrt{\frac{r_k^2 - x_k^2}{r_k^2}} = r_{k-1}/r_k$  for  $k = 3, \ldots, n$ , therefore  $r_k = r \sin \theta_{n-2} \ldots \sin \theta_{k-1}$  for  $k = 2, \ldots, n$ , and  $x_k = r_k \cos \theta_{k-2} = r \sin \theta_{n-2} \ldots \sin \theta_{k-1} \cos \theta_{k-2}$  for  $k = 3, \ldots, n$ . We take some (non-unique)  $\varphi \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\cos \varphi = x_2/r_2$  and  $\sin \varphi = x_1/r_2$ , then  $x_2 = r_2 \cos \varphi = r \sin \theta_{n-2} \ldots \sin \theta_1 \cos \varphi$  and  $x_1 = r_2 \sin \varphi = r \sin \theta_{n-2} \ldots \sin \theta_1 \sin \varphi$ , which shows that  $x \in S(U)$ . We see that S(U) = V.

Second, we find det(DS). Denoting the matrix DS by A,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,1} & \dots & a_{1,n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{n,1} & \dots & a_{n,n} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial r} & \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial \varphi} & \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial \theta_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial \theta_{n-2}} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \frac{\partial x_n}{\partial r} & \frac{\partial x_n}{\partial \varphi} & \frac{\partial x_n}{\partial \theta_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial x_n}{\partial \theta_{n-2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The original formulation contains det(DS) rather than |det(DS)|; however, the sign of the determinant depends on the enumeration of the variables.

and the corresponding matrix in dimension n-1 by B, we observe that the minor  $A_{n,n}$  is proportional to B,

$$A_{n,n} = \sin \theta_{n-2} \cdot B$$
, that is,  $a_{k,l} = \sin \theta_{n-2} \cdot b_{k,l}$  for  $k, l = 1, \dots, n-1$ .

Therefore det  $A_{n,n} = \sin^{n-1} \theta_{n-2} \cdot \det B$ .

We also note that the first and last columns of A are proportional, except for the last element,

$$a_{i,n} = r \frac{\cos \theta_{n-2}}{\sin \theta_{n-2}} a_{i,1}$$
 for  $i = 1, ..., n-1$ .

Without changing det A we add the first column multiplied by  $\left(-r\frac{\cos\theta_{n-2}}{\sin\theta_{n-2}}\right)$  to the last column; we get

$$a_{1,n} = \dots = a_{n-1,n} = 0,$$

$$a_{n,n} = \frac{\partial x_n}{\partial \theta_{n-2}} - r \frac{\cos \theta_{n-2}}{\sin \theta_{n-2}} \frac{\partial x_n}{\partial r} = -r \sin \theta_{n-2} - r \frac{\cos \theta_{n-2}}{\sin \theta_{n-2}} \cos \theta_{n-2} = -\frac{r}{\sin \theta_{n-2}}$$

Finally,

$$\det A = -\frac{r}{\sin \theta_{n-2}} \det A_{n,n} = -\frac{r}{\sin \theta_{n-2}} \sin^{n-1} \theta_{n-2} \cdot \det B = -r \sin^{n-2} \theta_{n-2} \det B$$

The result follows by induction in n.

**8#4 Exercise.** Let  $\mathbb{R}^2 \ni (u, v) \mapsto F(u, v) = w \in \mathbb{R}$  be a  $C^1$  mapping,  $F(0, 0) = 0,^1$  and  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfy

$$a\frac{\partial F}{\partial u}(0,0) + b\frac{\partial F}{\partial v}(0,0) \neq 0.$$

Prove that

(a) equation F(x - az, y - bz) = 0 in some neighborhood of (0, 0, 0) determines z as a  $C^1$  function of x, y;

(b)  $a\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} + b\frac{\partial z}{\partial y} = 1$  in this neighborhood.

**Solution.** We rewrite the equation as g(x, y, z) = 0 where  $g \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R})$  is defined by g(x, y, z) = F(x - az, y - bz). We have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z}g(x,y,z) = -a\frac{\partial F}{\partial u}(x-az,y-bz) - b\frac{\partial F}{\partial v}(x-az,y-bz) \neq 0$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This condition is forgotten in the original formulation, sorry.

at (0,0,0). By Th. 5c1, near (0,0,0) the equation determines z as a  $C^1$  function of x, y. We differentiate the equality

$$F(x - az(x, y), y - bz(x, y)) = 0$$

in x:

$$\left(1-a\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}\right)\frac{\partial F}{\partial u}-b\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}\cdot\frac{\partial F}{\partial v}=0\,;\quad \frac{\partial z}{\partial x}=\frac{\frac{\partial F}{\partial u}}{a\frac{\partial F}{\partial u}+b\frac{\partial F}{\partial v}}\,.$$

Similarly (differentiating in y),

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial y} = \frac{\frac{\partial F}{\partial v}}{a\frac{\partial F}{\partial u} + b\frac{\partial F}{\partial v}} \,.$$

Thus,  $a\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} + b\frac{\partial z}{\partial y} = 1.$