## After the exam of 03.03.2015: Typical errors, comments etc.

## Question 1

## Errors:

The goal is not reached, that is, the equality $\max _{A}(\ldots)=\max _{B}(\ldots)$ is not proved, though the equality $3 x_{i}^{2}=\lambda+2 \mu x_{i}$ is reached: 20 points.

It is claimed (ridiculously) that $\max _{A}(\ldots)$ is reached at a point with all coordinates equal: 15 points. (Indeed, such point never belongs to $A$.)

No attention to linear independence of $\nabla g_{1}, \nabla g_{2}: 7$ points.
Wrong explanation of this linear independence: 5 points. (No explanation; linear independence confused with $\nabla g_{1} \neq \nabla g_{2}$, or with $\nabla g_{1} \neq 0, \nabla g_{2} \neq 0$.)

No attention to compactness of $A$ : 2 points.

## Question 2

## Error:

Implicit (or inverse) function theorem is applied without checking that the relevant determinant is not zero: 25 points.

## Question 3

FATAL ERROR: ${ }^{1}$ the integral convergence confused with pointwise (or uniform) convergence.

Errors:
Incorrect estimation of $A B-a b$ for $A \geq a \geq 0, B \geq b \geq 0: 10$ points. I mean something like $A B-a b \leq(A-a)(B-b)$ or $A B-a b \leq A(B-b)$.

Spaces confused: 5 points.
I mean, $\int_{B_{1} \times B_{2}} f(x) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} y$ is confused with $\int_{B_{1}} f(x) \mathrm{d} x$; or a partition of $B_{1} \times$ $B_{2}$ is confused with a partition of $B_{1}$; etc.

Implicit assumption that $f \geq 0, g \geq 0$ : 5 points.
Incorrect reduction of the general case to the case $f \geq 0, g \geq 0: 5$ points. I mean, $f g=(f+a)(g+b)-a b$, etc.

Use of results from Section 7: 5 points.
The inequality $\sup h \leq \sup f+17$ assumed to follow from $\int h \leq \int f+\varepsilon$, $f \leq h: 3$ points.
(Do not ask me, why " 17 "; I have no idea.)

[^0]
## Question 4

## Errors:

Item (b): ridiculous combinations of symbols: 15 points.
I mean something like $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{0}^{\infty} x f(x+t) \mathrm{d} t$, or $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d} y} \iint f(x+y) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} y$; how could we differentiate in $t$ the function $x \mapsto \int_{0}^{\infty} x f(x+t) \mathrm{d} t$ of $x$ only?

Item (b): unclear notation: 5 points.
For example, $\int \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int f_{t}(x) x \mathrm{~d} t \mathrm{~d} x$; does it mean $\int\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int f_{t}(x) x \mathrm{~d} t\right) \mathrm{d} x$, that is, $\int \mathrm{d} x \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int \mathrm{~d} t f_{t}(x) x$ ? Or rather, $\int\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int f_{t}(x) x \mathrm{~d} x\right) \mathrm{d} t$, that is, $\int \mathrm{d} t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int \mathrm{~d} x f_{t}(x) x$ ?

Item (c): a counterexample: 10 points.
In fact, the claim is true; thus, all counterexamples are erroneous.

## Grades statistics

| Total | Question 1 | Question 2 | Question 3 | Question 4 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 110 | 35 | 35 |  | 40 |
| 103 | 35 | 38 |  | 30 |
| 97 | 35 | 37 | 25 |  |
| 93 | 33 | 35 | 25 |  |
| 90 | 30 | 35 | 25 |  |
| 76 | 13 |  | 28 | 35 |
| 70 | 33 |  | 10 | 27 |
| 69 | 21 |  | 23 | 25 |
| 65 | 28 |  | 22 | 15 |
| 65 | 15 |  | 20 | 30 |
| 61 | 28 | 20 |  | 13 |
| 60 |  | 10 | 21 | 29 |
| 60 | 28 | 10 |  | 22 |
| 60 | 33 | 10 | 17 |  |
| 60 | 25 |  | 17 | 18 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 53 | 30 |  | 23 | 0 |
| 52 | 23 | 29 | 0 |  |
| 50 | 7 | 10 |  | 33 |
| 48 | 18 |  | 0 | 30 |
| 48 | 33 |  | 15 |  |
| 46 | 13 |  | 25 | 8 |
| 28 | 28 |  | 0 | 0 |
| 28 | 13 | 0 | 15 |  |
| 20 | 10 |  | 10 | 0 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ It means, no points for this question!

