2 Random real zeroes

2 a	No derivatives .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	7
$2\mathbf{b}$	One derivative .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	9
2 c	Two derivatives	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	10

2a No derivatives

We consider random trigonometric sums of the form

$$X(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} a_k \operatorname{Re}\left((X_{2k-1} + iX_{2k}) e^{i\lambda_k t} \right) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} a_k (X_{2k-1} \cos \lambda_k t - X_{2k} \sin \lambda_k t)$$

where $a_1, \ldots, a_N > 0$, $0 < \lambda_1 < \cdots < \lambda_N < \infty$, and X_1, \ldots, X_{2N} are independent standard normal (that is, distributed N(0, 1)) random variables.

The distribution of $X(\cdot)$ is shift-invariant. In other words, $X(\cdot)$ is a stationary random process.

It may happen that all $\lambda_k/(2\pi)$ are integers, and then X(t+1) = X(t), but generally $X(\cdot)$ need not be periodic.

Assumption A:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} a_k^2 = 1$$

That is, $X(0) \sim N(0, 1)$. Otherwise we may rescale X. ASSUMPTION A_n : assumption A holds, and in addition,

$$\forall \lambda \in [0,\infty) \quad \sum_{k:\lambda_k \in [\lambda,\lambda+1]} a_k^2 \le \frac{1}{n} \,.$$

The correlation function

$$\mathbb{E}\left(X(0)X(t)\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} a_k^2 \cos \lambda_k t$$

¹This section is, to a large extent, a one-dimensional counterpart of the work: F. Nazarov, M. Sodin (2009), "On the number of nodal domains of random spherical harmonics", American Journal of Mathematics **131**:5, 1337–1357.

Tel Aviv University, 2010

need not decay in *n* exponentially. For example, $N \gg n$, $\lambda_k = nk/N$, $a_k = 1/\sqrt{N}$; then

$$\mathbb{E}\left(X(0)X(t)\right) \approx \frac{1}{n} \int_0^n \cos \lambda t \, \mathrm{d}\lambda = \frac{\sin nt}{nt};$$

another example gives

$$\mathbb{E}\left(X(0)X(t)\right) \approx \frac{2}{n^2} \int_0^n (n-\lambda) \cos \lambda t \, \mathrm{d}\lambda = \left(\frac{\sin nt/2}{nt/2}\right)^2.$$

In amazing contrast, many interesting probabilities decay in n exponentially.

2a1 Lemma. Let X satisfy assumption A, and a measurable function φ : $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be γ^1 -integrable (that is, $\int |\varphi| \, d\gamma^1 < \infty$). Then the random variable

$$\xi = \int_0^1 \varphi \big(X(t) \big) \, \mathrm{d}t$$

is integrable, and

$$\mathbb{E}\,\xi = \int \varphi \,\mathrm{d}\gamma^1\,.$$

2a2 Theorem. Let X satisfy assumption A_n , and a function $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous almost everywhere, and

$$\sup_{x} \frac{|\varphi(x)|}{1+|x|} < \infty \,.$$

Then the random variable ξ introduced above satisfies, for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\xi - \mathbb{E}\xi\right| \ge \varepsilon\right) \le 2\mathrm{e}^{-c_{\varepsilon,\varphi}n}$$

for some $c_{\varepsilon,\varphi} > 0$ (dependent on ε and φ only, not on n).

The same holds for \mathbb{R}^d -valued processes $X(\cdot)$ provided that $X(0) \sim \gamma^d$. For $f \in C[0, 1]$ denote

$$T(f) = \inf_{g} \int_{0}^{1} |f(t) - g(t)| dt$$

where the infimum is taken over all measurable $g : (0,1) \to \mathbb{R}$ that send Lebesgue measure to γ^1 .

2a3 Theorem. Let X satisfy assumption A_n . Then

$$\mathbb{P}(T(X(\cdot)) \ge \varepsilon) \le 2\mathrm{e}^{-c_{\varepsilon}n}$$

for some $c_{\varepsilon} > 0$ dependent on ε only.

A trivial rescaling of t by arbitrary L > 0 turns Assumption A_n , Lemma 2a1 and Theorem 2a2 into the following.

ASSUMPTION $A_{n,L}$: assumption A holds, and in addition,

$$\forall \lambda \in [0, \infty) \quad \sum_{k:\lambda_k \in [\lambda, \lambda + \frac{1}{L}]} a_k^2 \le \frac{1}{n}.$$

2a4 Lemma. Let X satisfy assumption A, and a measurable function φ : $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be γ^1 -integrable. Then the random variable

$$\xi = \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L \varphi \big(X(t) \big) \, \mathrm{d}t$$

is integrable, and

$$\mathbb{E}\,\xi = \int \varphi \,\mathrm{d}\gamma^1\,.$$

2a5 Theorem. Let X satisfy assumption $A_{n,L}$, and a function $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous almost everywhere, and

$$\sup_{x} \frac{|\varphi(x)|}{1+|x|} < \infty \,.$$

Then the random variable ξ introduced above satisfies, for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(|\xi - \mathbb{E}\xi| \ge \varepsilon) \le 2\mathrm{e}^{-c_{\varepsilon,\varphi}n}$$

for some $c_{\varepsilon,\varphi} > 0$.

2b One derivative

Assumption B:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} a_k^2 = 1 \text{ and } \sum_{k=1}^{N} \lambda_k^2 a_k^2 = 1.$$

That is, $X(0) \sim N(0, 1)$ and $X'(0) \sim N(0, 1)$. Otherwise we may rescale t. In fact, X(0) and X'(0) are independent; thus, $(X(0), X'(0)) \sim \gamma^2$.

Assumption $B_{n,L}$: assumption B holds, and in addition,

$$\forall \lambda \in [0,\infty) \quad \sum_{k:\lambda_k \in [\lambda,\lambda+\frac{1}{L}]} (1+\lambda_k^2) a_k^2 \le \frac{1}{n}.$$

Tel Aviv University, 2010

If X satisfies $B_{n,L}$ then the 2-dimensional process (X, X') satisfies $A_{n,L}$. Thus, Theorem 2a2 (2-dim version) may be applied to random variables of the form

$$\frac{1}{L} \int_0^L \varphi \big(X(t), X'(t) \big) \, \mathrm{d}t \, .$$

But now we turn to a more interesting random variable.

2b1 Theorem. Let X satisfy assumption B, and a measurable function $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfy $\int |\varphi(y)| |y| e^{-y^2/2} dy < \infty$. Then the random variable

$$\xi = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{t \in [0,L], X(t)=0} \varphi \left(X'(t) \right)$$

is integrable, and

$$\mathbb{E}\,\xi = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int \varphi(y)|y|\mathrm{e}^{-y^2/2}\,\mathrm{d}y\,.$$

(Assumption B does not contain L, but anyway, the theorem above holds for all L > 0.)

In particular (for $\varphi(\cdot) = 1$), the expected number of zeroes per unit time is equal to $1/\pi$.¹

The expected number (per unit time) of zeroes t such that $|X'(t)| \leq \varepsilon$ is $O(\varepsilon^2)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0+$.

2c Two derivatives

Assumption C_M :

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} a_k^2 = 1, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{N} \lambda_k^2 a_k^2 = 1, \text{ and } \sum_{k=1}^{N} \lambda_k^4 a_k^2 \le M.$$

Thus, $(X(0), X'(0)) \sim \gamma^2$, and $\mathbb{E} |X''(0)|^2 \leq M$. In fact, X'(0) and X''(0) are independent, but $\mathbb{E} (X(0)X''(0)) = -1$.

ASSUMPTION $C_{M,n,L}$: assumption C_M holds, and in addition,

$$\forall \lambda \in [0,\infty) \quad \sum_{k:\lambda_k \in [\lambda,\lambda+\frac{1}{L}]} (1+\lambda_k^2)^2 a_k^2 \le \frac{1}{n} \,.$$

Clearly, $C_{M,n,L}$ implies $B_{n,L}$.

¹ "Rice's formula" (Kac 1943, Rice 1945, Bunimovich 1951, Grenander and Rosenblatt 1957, Ivanov 1960, Bulinskaya 1961, Itô 1964, Ylvisaker 1965 et al. See [1, Sect. 10.3]). "... the famous Rice formula, undoubtedly one of the most important results in the applications of smooth stochastic processes" (R.J. Adler and J.E. Taylor, "Random fields and geometry", Springer 2007; see Preface, page viii).

Tel Aviv University, 2010

2c1 Theorem. Let X satisfy assumption $C_{M,n,L}$, and a function $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous almost everywhere, $\varphi(0) = 0$, and

$$\sup_{x\neq 0} \frac{|\varphi(x)|}{|x|} < \infty \,.$$

Then the random variable

$$\xi = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{t \in [0,L], X(t)=0} \varphi \left(X'(t) \right)$$

is integrable,

$$\mathbb{E}\,\xi = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int \varphi(y)|y|\mathrm{e}^{-y^2/2}\,\mathrm{d}y\,,$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}(|\xi - \mathbb{E}\xi| \ge \varepsilon) \le 2\mathrm{e}^{-c_{M,\varepsilon,\varphi}n}$$

for some $c_{M,\varepsilon,\varphi} > 0$.

References

 H. Cramér, M.R. Leadbetter, Stationary and related stochastic processes, Wiley 1967.