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## 7a Constant drift by spatial bias

We return to the rescaled random walk treated in 5a12-5a14, $X_{n}\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)=$ $\frac{\beta_{1}+\cdots+\beta_{k}}{n}, \mathbb{P}\left(\beta_{k}=0\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(\beta_{k}=1\right)=\frac{1}{2}$; here $k=0,1,2, \ldots$ (not just $0,1, \ldots, n)$. The corresponding LDP follows from (5b7), like (5a11) from Theorem 5a9; the rate function is $w \mapsto \int_{0}^{\infty} I_{0.5}\left(w^{\prime}(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t$.

We modify the random walk as follows:

$$
X_{n}\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)=\frac{s_{1}+\cdots+s_{k}}{n}+v \frac{k}{n}, \quad \mathbb{P}\left(s_{k}=-1\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(s_{k}=+1\right)=\frac{1}{2} ;
$$

here $v \in(0,1)$ is a parameter (and $s_{k}$ are independent, of course). Now $X_{n}$ is not monotone, but tends to increase with the given speed $v$ (the drift). It satisfies LDP with the rate function

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(w)=\int_{0}^{\infty} J_{0}\left(w^{\prime}(t)-v\right) \mathrm{d} t \tag{7a1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $w$ runs over all functions $[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying
$(v-1)(t-s) \leq w(t)-w(s) \leq(v+1)(t-s)$ for $0 \leq s \leq t<\infty$, and $w(0)=0$ (recall (5a10)), with the topology of uniform convergence on compacta (say, $\left.\operatorname{dist}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)=\max _{t \in[0, \infty)} \frac{1}{t^{2}+1}\left|w_{1}(t)-w_{2}(t)\right|\right)$; and

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{0}(x) & =I_{0.5}\left(\frac{1+x}{2}\right)=\underbrace{\ln 2\}}_{-1} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}(1-x) \ln (1-x)+\frac{1}{2}(1+x) \ln (1+x) \quad \text { for } x \in[-1,1] .
\end{aligned}
$$

We consider the (rare) event

$$
A_{n}: \quad \exists k \quad X_{n}\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \leq-1
$$

Similarly to 5 a13 we guess the extremal function $w(\cdot)$,


$$
w^{\prime}(s)= \begin{cases}-1 / t & \text { for } s \in(0, t) \\ v & \text { for } s \in(t, \infty)\end{cases}
$$

but we have to find the optimal $t$ by minimizing

$$
J(w)=t J_{0}\left(-\frac{1}{t}-v\right)=t J_{0}\left(\frac{1}{t}+v\right) . \underbrace{\frac{1}{t}+v}_{0} 1
$$

The minimum exists and is unique due to strict convexity of $J_{0}$.
Conditionally, given $A_{n}$, the (random) time of the first hit of $(-\infty,-1]$ is close to $t$ with high probability. Moreover, all $k / n$ such that $X_{n}\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \leq-1$ are close to $t$ (with high probability).

For small $v$ we get $1 / t \approx v$, since $J_{0}(\varepsilon) \approx \varepsilon^{2} / 2$ for small $\varepsilon$.
7a2 Exercise. Prove all said above.
You see, the stronger the stream, the faster one should move against it!

## 7b Constant drift by biased probabilities

An unfair coin leads to another random walk with drift,

$$
X_{n}\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)=\frac{s_{1}+\cdots+s_{k}}{n}, \quad \mathbb{P}\left(s_{k}=-1\right)=1-p, \quad \mathbb{P}\left(s_{k}=+1\right)=p
$$

here $p \in(0,1)$ is a parameter. Now $X_{n}$ tends to move with the speed $v=\mathbb{E} s_{k}=2 p-1 \in(-1,1)$ (the drift). It satisfies LDP with the rate function

$$
J(w)=\int_{0}^{\infty} J_{v}\left(w^{\prime}(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t
$$

Here $w$ runs over all functions $[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
|w(t)-w(s)| \leq t-s \quad \text { whenever } 0 \leq s \leq t<\infty, \quad \text { and } w(0)=0 \tag{7b1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(with the topology uniform on compacta); and (letting $p=(1+v) / 2$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{v}(x) & =I_{p}\left(\frac{1+x}{2}\right)=\frac{1-x}{2} \ln \frac{1-x}{2(1-p)}+\frac{1+x}{2} \ln \frac{1+x}{2 p}= \\
& =J_{0}(x)-\frac{x}{2} \ln \frac{1+v}{1-v}-\frac{1}{2} \ln \left(1-v^{2}\right) \quad \text { for } x \in[-1,1]
\end{aligned}
$$

7b2 Exercise. Prove the LDP above.
Hint: Theorem 5a9 and 2c1; recall also the end of 3a.
Let $p \in(0.5,1)$, then $v>0$, and the event

$$
A_{n}: \quad \exists k \quad X_{n}\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \leq-1
$$

is rare. Still, the extremal function $w(\cdot)$ is of the form

but this time the optimal $t$ minimizes

$$
\begin{align*}
J(w) & =t J_{v}\left(-\frac{1}{t}\right)=  \tag{7b3}\\
& =t J_{0}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)-\frac{t}{2} \ln \left(1-v^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{\frac{1}{2} \ln \left(1-v^{2}\right)}{1-v} .
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\frac{1}{2} \ln \left(1-v^{2}\right)
$$

As before, the minimum exists and is unique due to strict convexity of $J_{0}$. But now we are able to calculate it explicitly: $t=1 / v$ is optimal!


Indeed, $J_{v}(-x)=J_{-v}(x)=J_{v}(x)+$ const $\cdot x$.
Conditionally, given $A_{n}$, the (random) time of the first hit of $(-\infty,-1]$ is close to $1 / v$ with high probability. Moreover, all $k / n$ such that $X_{n}\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \leq-1$ are close to $1 / v$ (with high probability).
7b4 Exercise. Prove all said above.
You see, against the stream $v$ one should move with the speed $(-v)$ !

## 7c Variable drift by biased probabilities

Let $v: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow(-1,1)$ be a continuous function. We define processes $X_{n}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(X_{n}\left(\frac{k+1}{n}\right)=X_{n}\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \pm \frac{1}{n}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(1 \pm v\left(X_{n}\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)\right)\right) . \tag{7c1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such process tends to move with the variable speed $v\left(X_{n}(\cdot)\right)$ (the drift). It satisfies LDP with the rate function

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(w)=\int_{0}^{\infty}(\underbrace{J_{0}\left(w^{\prime}(t)\right)-\frac{w^{\prime}(t)}{2} \ln \frac{1+v(w(t))}{1-v(w(t))}-\frac{1}{2} \ln \left(1-v^{2}(w(t))\right)}_{J_{v(w(t))}\left(w^{\prime}(t)\right)}) \mathrm{d} t \tag{7c2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $w$ runs over all functions $[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying (7b1) (with the topology uniform on compacta).

In order to prove this LDP we generalize Theorem 2c1 as follows.
7c3 Theorem. Let $\left(\mu_{n}\right)_{n},\left(\nu_{n}\right)_{n}$ be two sequences of probability measures on a compact metrizable space $K$, satisfying

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} \nu_{n}}{\mathrm{~d} \mu_{n}}=c_{n} \mathrm{e}^{-n h_{n}} \quad \text { for all } n
$$

for some $c_{1}, c_{2}, \cdots \in(0, \infty)$ and a convergent sequence $\left(h_{n}\right)_{n}, h_{n} \in C(K)$; thus, $\left\|h_{n}-h\right\|_{C(K)} \rightarrow 0$ for some $h \in C(K)$.
(a) If $\left(\mu_{n}\right)_{n}$ is LD-convergent then $\left(\nu_{n}\right)_{n}$ is LD-convergent.
(b) If $\left(\mu_{n}\right)_{n}$ satisfies LDP with a rate function $I$, then $\left(\nu_{n}\right)_{n}$ satisfies LDP with the rate function

$$
J=(I+h)-\min _{K}(I+h)=I+h-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln c_{n} .
$$

7c4 Exercise. Prove Theorem 7c3]
Hint: recall 2c2 and note that

$$
\min _{K} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-n h_{n}}}{\mathrm{e}^{-n h}} \leq \frac{\int|f|^{n} \mathrm{e}^{-n h_{n}} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{n}}{\int|f|^{n} \mathrm{e}^{-n h} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{n}} \leq \max _{K} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-n h_{n}}}{\mathrm{e}^{-n h}}
$$

Let us prove (7c2) for a finite time interval, namely, $k \leq n$ and $t \leq$ 1; generalization to a longer time interval is straightforward, and LDP for intinite time follows via Theorem 5 b 2 as before.

We compare the distribution $\nu_{n}$ of the process $X_{n}$ given by (7c1) with the distribution $\mu_{n}$ for $v=0$. Denoting for convenience $w\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)$ by $w_{n, k}$ and
$n\left(w\left(\frac{k+1}{n}\right)-w\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)\right)$ by $w_{n, k}^{\prime}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathrm{d} \nu_{n}}{\mathrm{~d} \mu_{n}}(w) & =\prod_{k=0}^{n-1}\left(1+w_{n, k}^{\prime} v\left(w_{n, k}\right)\right) ; \\
\frac{1}{n} \ln \frac{\mathrm{~d} \nu_{n}}{\mathrm{~d} \mu_{n}}(w) & =\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \ln \left(1+w_{n, k}^{\prime} v\left(w_{n, k}\right)\right)= \\
& =\frac{1}{2 n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} w_{n, k}^{\prime} \ln \frac{1+v\left(w_{n, k}\right)}{1-v\left(w_{n, k}\right)}+\frac{1}{2 n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \ln \left(1-v^{2}\left(w_{n, k}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(when checking it do not forget that $w_{n, k}^{\prime}= \pm 1$ ). We define $h_{n}, h$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{n}(w) & =\frac{1}{2 n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} w_{n, k}^{\prime} \ln \frac{1+v\left(w_{n, k}\right)}{1-v\left(w_{n, k}\right)} \\
h(w) & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} w^{\prime}(t) \ln \frac{1+v(w(t))}{1-v(w(t))} \mathrm{d} t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Functions $w:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of the given class may be described by

$$
w(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \varphi(s) \mathrm{d} s, \quad \varphi \in L_{\infty}(0,1), \quad\|\varphi\|_{L_{\infty}} \leq 1
$$

the given topology (uniform on compacta) on the functions $w$ corresponds to the weak* topology on the functions $\varphi$, recall (5a3)-(5a5). (No matter that now $-1 \leq \varphi(\cdot) \leq 1$ rather than $0 \leq \varphi(\cdot) \leq 1$.)

The functions $h_{n}$ are evidently continuous. It is less evident that the function $h$ is continuous. On one hand, $w \mapsto \int w^{\prime} \eta$ is continuous for every $\eta \in$ $L_{1}(0,1)$, and the function $\eta_{w}(\cdot)=\ln \frac{1+v(w(\cdot))}{1-v(w(\cdot))}$ belongs to $L_{1}(0,1)$ (moreover, to $C[0,1])$. On the other hand, $\int w^{\prime} \eta_{w}$ is more dangerous than just $\int w^{\prime} \eta$.

Let $w_{n} \rightarrow w$ (uniformly) as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\eta_{w_{n}} \rightarrow \eta_{w}$ in $L_{1}(0,1)$ (and moreover, in $C[0,1]$ ). We have, first, $\int w_{n}^{\prime} \eta_{w} \rightarrow \int w^{\prime} \eta_{w}$ and second, $\mid \int w_{n}^{\prime} \eta_{w_{n}}-$ $\int w_{n}^{\prime} \eta_{w} \mid \leq\left\|w_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|\eta_{w_{n}}-\eta_{w}\right\|_{1} \rightarrow 0$. Therefore $\int w_{n}^{\prime} \eta_{w_{n}} \rightarrow \int w^{\prime} \eta_{w}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, whenever $w_{n} \rightarrow w$. It means that $h$ is continuous.

7c5 Exercise. Prove that $h_{n}(w) \rightarrow h(w)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for every $w$ of the given class.

Hint: $h(w)=\int w^{\prime} \eta_{w}$ and $h_{n}(w)=\int w^{\prime} \eta_{w, n}$, where $\eta_{w, n}(t)=\eta_{w}\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)$ for $t \in\left(\frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n}\right)$.
7c6 Exercise. Prove that the convergence in 7c5 is uniform in $w$.
Hint: the function $\ln \frac{1+v(\cdot)}{1-v(\cdot)}$ is uniformly continuous on $[-1,1]$, therefore all $\eta_{w}(\cdot)$ are equicontinuous.
(Of course, continuity of $h$ can be deduced from 7c6)
7c7 Exercise. Prove the LDP with the rate function (7c2) for the process (7c1).

Hint: use 7c3 and 7c6, and do not forget the last term $\frac{1}{2 n} \sum \ln (\ldots)$ in $\frac{1}{n} \ln \frac{\mathrm{~d} \nu_{n}}{\mathrm{~d} \mu_{n}}$.

Assuming $v(x)>0$ for all $x \in[-1,0]$ we consider the rare event

$$
A_{n}: \quad \exists k \quad X_{n}\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \leq-1
$$

How to find the extremal function $w(\cdot)$ ? We guess that it is a smooth monotone function on $[0, t], w(t)=-1, w^{\prime}(\cdot)<0$ on $[0, t]$; and, as before, $[t, \infty)$ does not contribute to $J(w)$. Assuming all that, we introduce the function $\tau:[-1,0] \rightarrow[0, t]$ inverse to $\left.w\right|_{[0, t]}$ and note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J(w)=\int_{0}^{t}\left(J_{0}\left(w^{\prime}(s)\right)-\frac{w^{\prime}(s)}{2} \ln \frac{1+v(w(s))}{1-v(w(s))}-\frac{1}{2} \ln \left(1-v^{2}(w(s))\right)\right) \mathrm{d} s= \\
& =\int_{-1}^{0}\left(-\tau^{\prime}(x) J_{0}\left(\frac{1}{\tau^{\prime}(x)}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1+v(x)}{1-v(x)}+\frac{1}{2} \tau^{\prime}(x) \ln \left(1-v^{2}(x)\right)\right) \mathrm{d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

We have to minimize it in $\tau(\cdot)$. This task boils down to minimization for each $x$ separately, in the variable $\left(-\tau^{\prime}(x)\right)$. We get (7b3) with $\left(-\tau^{\prime}(x)\right)$ substituted for $t$. The minimum is reached at $-\tau^{\prime}(x)=1 / v(x)$, which means

$$
w^{\prime}(s)=-v(w(s)) \quad \text { for } s \in[0, t]
$$

just the opposite to the drift! ${ }^{1}$

## 7d Extremal functions as mechanical trajectories

Minimization of the functional $J(w)$ (defined by (7c21)) over smooth functions $w$ is a usual task for the calculus of variations. If $w$ minimizes $J$ among all smooth functions satisfying boundary conditions $w(0)=0, w(t)=a$ (for given $t$ and $a$ ), then necessarily

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} \varepsilon}\right|_{\varepsilon=0} J\left(w+\varepsilon w_{1}\right)=0 \tag{7d1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]for all smooth $w_{1}$ satisfying $w_{1}(0)=0, w_{1}(t)=0$. For $J$ of the form
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(w)=\int_{0}^{t} L\left(w(s), w^{\prime}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s \tag{7d2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

the necessary condition becomes the Euler-Lagrange equation (see also [1], Appendix G])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} s} L_{, 2}\left(w(s), w^{\prime}(s)\right)=L_{, 1}\left(w(s), w^{\prime}(s)\right) \tag{7d3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{, 1}$ and $L_{, 2}$ are the partial derivatives of $L$ (in the first and the second argument, respectively).

In our case

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(q, r)=J_{0}(r)-\frac{1}{2} \ln \left(1-v^{2}(q)\right) ; \tag{7d4}
\end{equation*}
$$

the middle term in (the integrand of) (7c2) is omitted, since

$$
\int_{0}^{t} \frac{w^{\prime}(s)}{2} \ln \frac{1+v(w(s))}{1-v(w(s))} \mathrm{d} s=\int_{0}^{a} \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1+v(q)}{1-v(q)} \mathrm{d} q
$$

does not depend on $w$. We have

$$
L_{, 1}(q, r)=\frac{v(q) v^{\prime}(q)}{1-v^{2}(q)}, \quad L_{, 2}(q, r)=J_{0}^{\prime}(r)=\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1+r}{1-r}
$$

thus, the Euler-Lagrange equation becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underbrace{\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} s} \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1+w^{\prime}(s)}{1-w^{\prime}(s)}}_{\frac{w^{\prime \prime}(s)}{1-w^{\prime 2}(s)}}=\frac{v(w(s)) v^{\prime}(w(s))}{1-v^{2}(w(s))} \tag{7d5}
\end{equation*}
$$

a nonlinear ordinary differential equation of second order.
A paradox: (7d5) is intact if $v$ is replaced with $(-v)$. The direction of the drift does not matter! Well, it does not matter as long as the boundary conditions are fixed $(w(0)=0, w(t)=a)$.

Here is a nice mechanical interpretation. Imagine a massive particle with a coordinate $q=q(s)$, speed $\dot{q}=\frac{\mathrm{d} q}{\mathrm{~d} s}$ and Lagrangian $L=J_{0}(\dot{q})-\frac{1}{2} \ln (1-$ $v^{2}(q)$ ). Its action (on the time interval $\left.[0, t]\right)$ is $\int_{0}^{t} L \mathrm{~d} s$, and the least action principle leads just to the minimization problem treated above. The momentum is $p=\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}}=J_{0}^{\prime}(\dot{q})=\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1+\dot{q}}{1-\dot{q}}$, the force is $F=\frac{\partial L}{\partial q}=\frac{v(q) v^{\prime}(q)}{1-v^{2}(q)}$, and the Euler-Lagrange equation turns into the motion equation $\dot{p}=F$, that is,

$$
\frac{1}{1-\dot{q}^{2}} \ddot{q}=\frac{v(q) v^{\prime}(q)}{1-v^{2}(q)},
$$

the same as (7d5).
Mechanics tells us also, that the potential energy is $U=\frac{1}{2} \ln \left(1-v^{2}(q)\right)$ (its gradient being the force $F$ ), and the kinetic energy is $T=p \dot{q}-L=$ $-\frac{1}{2} \ln \left(1-\dot{q}^{2}\right)$ (check it). The total energy $T+U=\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1-v^{2}(q)}{1-\dot{q}^{2}}$ is constant along each trajectory. It means that

$$
\frac{1-v^{2}(w(s))}{1-w^{\prime 2}(s)}=\mathrm{const}
$$

for every extremal function.
Especially, if $\dot{q}$ is small then the kinetic energy $T \approx \frac{1}{2} \dot{q}^{2}$ (as usual...). Also, if the function $v(\cdot)$ is small then the potential energy $U \approx-\frac{1}{2} v^{2}(q)$. Accordingly, the motion equation becomes $\ddot{q} \approx v(q) v^{\prime}(q)$, and the energy conservation law becomes $\dot{q}^{2}-v^{2}(q) \approx$ const.

In other words, if $v(\cdot)$ and $w^{\prime}(\cdot)$ are small then (7d5) becomes $w^{\prime \prime}(s) \approx$ $v(w(s)) v^{\prime}(w(s))$; also, $w^{\prime 2}(s)-v^{2}(w(s)) \approx$ const.

7d6 Example. Let $v(q)=1+(q-0.5)^{2}$ for $q \in[0,1]$. We consider the (rare) event

$$
A_{n}: \quad \forall k \leq 10 n \quad 0 \leq X_{n}\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \leq 1
$$

The boundary conditions are $w(0)=0, w(10)=1$. We guess that the extremal function $w(\cdot)$ increases from 0 to (nearly) 0.5 in a time $<1$; then it remains near 0.5 till a time $>9$; afterwards it increases and arrives to 1 at 10.

The mechanical interpretation refines the guess. The potential energy is maximal at 0.5 . The particle starts from 0 with a kinetic energy higher than the potential wall, but only a little. After climbing to the top of the potential wall, the particle slows down (almost stops). After a time it falls down on the other side of the wall.

A wonder: under some condition, the (inertialess) Markov process behaves like a mechanical particle endowed with inertia!

## 7e Variable drift by spatial bias

Let $v: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow(-1,1)$ be a continuous function. We define processes $X_{n}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{n}(0) & =0 \\
X_{n}\left(\frac{k+1}{n}\right) & =X_{n}\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)+\frac{1}{n} s_{k+1}+\frac{1}{n} v\left(X_{n}\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)\right) ; \tag{7e1}
\end{align*}
$$

as in 7al $s_{k}$ are independent equiprobable $\pm 1$. Such process tends to move with the variable speed $v\left(X_{n}(\cdot)\right)$ (the drift). By analogy with (7al) it is easy to guess that $\left(X_{n}\right)_{n}$ satisfies LDP with the rate function

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(w)=\int_{0}^{\infty} J_{0}\left(w^{\prime}(t)-v(w(t)) \mathrm{d} t\right. \tag{7e2}
\end{equation*}
$$

How to prove it? And what is the set of functions $w$ ?
We consider the corresponding driftless processes

$$
Y_{n}\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)=\frac{s_{1}+\cdots+s_{k}}{n}
$$

and note that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{Y_{n}\left(\frac{k+1}{n}\right)-Y_{n}\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)}{\frac{1}{n}}=\frac{X_{n}\left(\frac{k+1}{n}\right)-X_{n}\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)}{\frac{1}{n}}-v\left(X_{n}\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)\right) ; \\
Y_{n}\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)=X_{n}\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} v\left(X_{n}\left(\frac{j}{n}\right)\right) . \tag{7e3}
\end{gather*}
$$

We define a map $F$ of the space of continuous functions on $[0, \infty)$ (vanishing at 0 ) to itself,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(w)(t)=w(t)-\int_{0}^{t} v(w(s)) \mathrm{d} s . \quad(w(0)=0) \tag{7e4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In general, $F$ is not one-to-one (a counterexample: $v(x)=\sqrt{|x|}, w_{1}(t)=$ $\left.t^{2} / 4, w_{2}(t)=0\right)$. However, we assume that $v$ is a Lipschitz function, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|v(x)-v(y)| \leq C_{v}|x-y| \quad \text { for all } x, y \tag{7e5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and some constant $C_{v}<\infty .{ }^{1}$
7e6 Exercise. The map $F$ is one-to-one.
Prove it.
Hint: if $F\left(w_{1}\right)=F\left(w_{2}\right)$ then $\left|w_{1}(t)-w_{2}(t)\right| \leq C_{v} \int_{0}^{t}\left|w_{1}(s)-w_{2}(s)\right| \mathrm{d} s ;$ show that $\mathrm{e}^{-C_{v} t}\left|w_{1}(t)-w_{2}(t)\right|$ is decreasing in $t$.

For any $C \in(0, \infty)$ we introduce the compact metrizable space $\operatorname{Lip}(C)$ of all $w:[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
w(0)=0, \quad|w(s)-w(t)| \leq C|s-t| \quad \text { for all } s, t \in \mathbb{R}
$$

[^1]endowed with the topology uniform on bounded time intervals. Note that
\[

$$
\begin{gathered}
X_{n}(\cdot) \in \operatorname{Lip}(2) ; \quad Y_{n}(\cdot) \in \operatorname{Lip}(1) ; \\
w \in \operatorname{Lip}(C) \Longrightarrow \quad F(w) \in \operatorname{Lip}(C+1)
\end{gathered}
$$
\]

It follows from 7e6 that the restriction

$$
\left.F\right|_{\operatorname{Lip}(C)}: \operatorname{Lip}(C) \rightarrow F(\operatorname{Lip}(C)) \quad \text { is a homeomorphism }
$$

## 7e7 Lemma.

$$
\max _{[0, t]}\left|F\left(X_{n}(\cdot)\right)-Y_{n}(\cdot)\right| \leq \frac{2 C_{v} t+4}{n}
$$

for all $t>0, n$ and $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}$.
Proof. Using (7e3), (7e4) and (7e5),

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|F\left(X_{n}(\cdot)\right)\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)-Y_{n}\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)\right|=\left|\int_{0}^{k / n} v\left(X_{n}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} v\left(X_{n}\left(\frac{j}{n}\right)\right)\right| \leq \\
& \leq \frac{k}{n} \max _{j}\left|n \int_{j / n}^{(j+1) / n} v\left(X_{n}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s-v\left(X_{n}\left(\frac{j}{n}\right)\right)\right| \leq \frac{k}{n} C_{v} \cdot 2
\end{aligned}
$$

since $X_{n}(\cdot) \in \operatorname{Lip}(2)$. It remains to note that $F\left(X_{n}(\cdot)\right)-Y_{n}(\cdot) \in \operatorname{Lip}(4)$.
It follows that the distribution of $F\left(X_{n}(\cdot)\right)$ and the distribution of $Y_{n}(\cdot)$ come together (recall 5d) as measures on $\operatorname{Lip}(3)$. By Prop. 5d1, LDP for $\left(Y_{n}\right)_{n}$ implies LDP for $\left(F\left(X_{n}\right)\right)_{n}$ with the same rate function.

We know that the rate function for $\left(Y_{n}\right)_{n}$ is $w \mapsto \int_{0}^{\infty} J_{0}\left(w^{\prime}(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t$, but this happens on $\operatorname{Lip}(1)$. What happens on $\operatorname{Lip}(3)$ ? We just extend the rate function from $\operatorname{Lip}(1)$ to $\operatorname{Lip}(3)$ by $+\infty$ on $\operatorname{Lip}(3) \backslash \operatorname{Lip}(1)$.

7e8 Exercise. Formulate and prove the corresponding general result.
We may still write $\int_{0}^{\infty} J_{0}\left(w^{\prime}(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t$, provided that $J_{0}$ is extended from $[-1,1]$ to $\mathbb{R}$ by $+\infty$ on $\mathbb{R} \backslash[-1,1]$.

Having the rate function for $\left(F\left(X_{n}\right)\right)_{n}$ we get the rate function for $\left(X_{n}\right)_{n}$ via the homeomorphism: $J(w)=\int_{0}^{\infty} J_{0}\left((F(w))^{\prime}(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t$.

7e9 Exercise. Formulate and prove the corresponding general result.
Hint: if you like, treat it as a trivial case of the contraction principle.
We got (7e2), with two remarks. First, $w$ rans over Lip(2). Second, $J_{0}(x)=+\infty$ for $x \in \mathbb{R} \backslash[-1,1]$.

See also [3, Sect. 6], [2, Sect. 5.6] and [1, Sect. 4C].
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ It can be proved that this function is indeed the unique global minimizer of $J$ on the set of all functions $w:[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying (7b1) and such that $\exists t w(t)=-1$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Also 'locally Lipschitz' would be enough; that is, Lipschitz on every bounded interval.

